RESPONSIBLE CARE® Fundamental Features Implementation Milestones

Feature	Initiating	Developing	Implementing
Summary	The association is considering a plan or program to implement the feature, but has not yet begun to implement it.	The association is in the midst of developing a plan or program that establishes the feature.	The association has completed the development of the plan or program to establish the feature and has in place the persons and/or processes necessary to maintain the feature and proceed with its continuous improvement.
1. Guiding Principles	Responsible Care Board/Leadership Group Formed Member interest/support surveyed Commitment mechanism decided Intentions communicated internally to ICCA International models reviewed by leadership group.	 Working Party formed and meeting International models reviewed Draft Guiding Principles published Feedback from members being received Viable level of CEO support confirmed 	 Agreed Guiding Principles confirmed by association board Document distributed to all CEOs. Signed commitments (or alternative) being returned by CEOs. Follow up on outstanding members commenced. Member company contacts/coordinators appointed.
2. Name, Logo Adoption of a title and logo which clearly identify national initiatives as being consistent with and part of the concept of Responsible Care®.	 International standard reviewed by the leadership group. Name and logo adopted as appropriate. Intentions communicated internationally to ICCA and feedback obtained. Rules for use of name and logos developed by working group. 	 Rules adopted by the leadership group. Rules communicated to member companies. 	 Name and logo in routine use. Member companies observing established rules. Observance monitored. Only members of association are using Responsible Care name and logo.

Feature	Initiating	Developing	Implementing
3. Codes, Guides A series of Codes, Guidance notes or Checklists to assist companies to implement the commitment. of Responsible Care to achieve continuos health, safety and environmental improvements.	 International models reviewed by leadership group or working party. Mechanisms to be used formulated. Working Party to establish overall structure formed and meeting. Member companies surveyed on draft proposals. Process for input from interested parties defined. Draft timetable published. 	 Code Structure (or equivalent) confirmed by CEOs. Individual code working groups established and meeting as appropriate. Draft codes or guidance developing and published in accordance with timetable. Member company feedback obtained. Interested party inputs incorporated as per agreed process. 	 Codes/Guide published to timetable Training sessions for member company coordinators held. Code or guidance implementation by members in progress. Mechanisms in place for intercompany sharing of experience.
4. Indicators The progressive development of indicators against which improvements and performance can be measured.	International models reviewed by leadership group or working party. Mechanisms to be used formulated. Interested party issues/input included. Member companies surveyed on draft proposals. Draft timetable published.	 Appropriate working parties formed. Draft indicators agreed by member CEOs and interested parties. Timetable for implementation agreed. Member companies informed. Indicator reporting mechanisms agreed. Training for member companies conducted. Indicator measurements commenced by members to the timetable. 	 Indicator reporting commenced. Continued interested parties comments obtained. Indicators part of company sharing discussions. Indicators made public.

Feature	Initiating	Developing	Implementing
5. Communications An on-going association and member company process of communication on Health, Safety and Environmental matters with interested parties inside and outside the membership.	 International models reviewed by leadership group or working party. Mechanisms to be used formulated. Process for formal input from external interested parties including government established. Special consideration given to local community input/concerns. Member companies surveyed/informed. Media relationships considered. 	 External interested party input process functioning. Proposals for local communication formulated. Member company CEO agreement obtained. Training for member companies conducted. Pilot trials of local communications commenced. Target timetables/milestones set. Government kept informed. Media appropriately involved. 	 Interested party input process reviewed and updated as appropriate. Implementation of local processes proceeding to targets. Regular feedback from interested parties obtained. Issues addressed.
6. Sharing Provision of Forums in which company CEO and Responsible Care coordinators can share views and exchange experiences on implementation of the commitment.	 Leadership group meeting regularly International models reviewed by the leadership group. Mechanisms to be used formulated. Member company input obtained. 	 Appropriate working parties and peer review groups established. Sharing mechanisms agreed. Timetable for meetings established. Company Responsible Care® coordinators fully trained and functioning. 	 Regular CEO and Responsible Care coordinator sharing meetings held. Newsletter/communications commenced. Feedback obtained. Regional steering groups considered. Mutual Aid/Mentor partnerships considered Specific issue working groups established. Participation in international industry sharing arrangements undertaken.

Feature	INITIATING	Developing	Implementing	
7. Encouragement	International models reviewed by	Working group established to	Performance indicators monitored for	
	the leadership group.	recommend incentives/sanctions.	both high and low performers.	
Consideration of how best to encourage	Mechanism to be used drafted	Criteria for implementation of	Mechanisms are in place to recognize	
all association member companies to	with emphasis on positive	incentives/sanctions established.	high performers and assist less	
commit and participate in Responsible	incentives and benefits.	• Positive incentives/benefits	advanced companies.	
Care®.	Possible encouragement and	emphasized.	Processes for dealing with persistent	
	sanction considered.	Performance indicators and criteria	poor-performers in place.	
	Member company input obtained.	for dealing with poor performance		
		communicated to CEOs.		
8. Verification	International certification models	Verification procedures meeting	Verification (internal or external)	
	and ICCA guidelines reviewed by	ICCA guidelines are drafted.	procedures in use.	
Systematic procedures to verify the	leadership group.	Member companies surveyed on	Verification results used to continually	
implementation of the measurable (or	• Mechanisms to be used	draft proposals and trials	improve Responsible Care®	
practical) elements of Responsible Care®	formulated.	underway.	implementation efforts.	
by the member companies	Member company input obtained.	Timetable for implementation of	Verification results communicated by	
	Interested party inputs obtained.	verification procedures decided.	companies or association to interested	
			parties.	